

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/707,933	01/26/2004	Chih-Hung Su	ADTP0105USA	1932	
	27765	7590 07/27/2006		EXAMINER		
	NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION P.O. BOX 506			CANNING, ANTHONY J		
MERRIFIELD,				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2879		

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/707,933	SU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	*			
·		Anthony J. Canning	2879				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addre	SS			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE SECOND OF	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this comm ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ma	ay 2006.					
2a)⊠	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
· 4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10 and 17-26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) 11-16 is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers		•				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offi	ice Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1 Certified copies of the priority documents			•			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
·	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	eived in this National Sta	age			
	application from the International Bureau	,	* _ 1				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	ivea.				
				·			
Attachmen		—					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Llinterview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai					
3) Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application (PTO-15	i2)			

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement of Amendment

1. The amendment to the instant application was entered on 10 May 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. In claim 11, the recitation that "the material has a plurality of organic/inorganic ratios, and each organic/inorganic ratio of the material has a different thickness" is unclear to the examiner. The examiner is unsure as to the meaning of the thickness of a ratio.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shi et al. (U.S. 5,811,177).

Art Unit: 2879

- As to claim 11, Shi et al. disclose an organic light emitting display, comprising: a substrate (see Fig. 3, item 10; column 2, lines 38-41); an organic light emitting unit on the substrate (see Fig. 1, item 13; column 2, lines 45-49), and a passivation layer covering the organic light emitting unit (see Fig. 3, items 22, 24 and 26; column 3, lines 40-52), the material has a plurality of organic/inorganic ratios, and each organic/inorganic ratio of the material has a different thickness (see Fig. 3, item 22, 24 and 26; column 3, lines 6-7, organometallics have organic/inorganic ratios, and an example is Alq; column 3, lines 54-63, silicon dioxide has an organic/inorganic ratio).
- 8. As to claim 12, Shi et al. disclose the organic light emitting display of claim 11. Shi et al. disclose that the organic content is preponderant in a portion of the passivation layer adjacent to the organic light-emitting unit (see Fig. 3, item 22; column 3, lines 40-52; item 22 is the closest layer of the passivation layer, 22, 24 and 26 to the organic emitting layer 12).
- 9. As to claim 13, Shi et al. disclose the organic light emitting display of claim 11. Shi et al. further disclose that the inorganic content is preponderant in a portion of the passivation layer not in contact with the organic light-emitting unit (see Fig. 1, item 13; see Fig. 3, item 26; column 3, lines 40-52).
- 10. As to claim 14, Shi et al. disclose the organic light emitting display of claim 11. Shi et al. further disclose a thickness of the passivation layer is in a range of about 500 to 5000 angstroms (column 3, lines 15-25; column 4, lines 1-5; adding the layer thickness is *about* 5000 angstroms).
- 11. As to claim 15, Shi et al. disclose the organic light emitting display of claim 11. Shi et al. further disclose that the passivation layer includes SiO_xC_yH_z, SiN_xC_yH_z, or SiO_wN_xC_yH_z compounds (column 3, lines 54-63; SiO₂ falls within the claimed materials, since the subscribes

can be any real number including zero; the examiner interprets y and z to be zero and x to be 2; therefore silica fits the material listed first).

12. As to claim 16, the organic light emitting display device of claim 11. Shi et al. further disclose that the passivation layer has light transmittance in a range of about 40 to 90% (column 3, line 15; parylene is a polymer with transmittance between 40 to 90%).

Response to Arguments

- 13. The examiner acknowledges the amendment to claim 11.
- 14. The amendment to claim 11 does not clarify the examiner's confusion from the previous wording of the claim. Therefore the U.S.C. 112 2nd rejection is not withdrawn.
- 15. Shi et al. disclose various passivation layers, 22, 24 and 26 from figure 3. Layer 22 is an organometallic such as Alq (column 3, lines 26-28), and layers 24 and 26 can be silicon dioxide. Therefore there are a plurality of organic/inorganic ratios. Layer 22 is said to be 0.5-2 mils, while 24 and 26 are 0.05-10 microns.

Final Rejection

- 16. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 17. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2879

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J. Canning whose telephone number is (571)-272-2486. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh D. Patel can be reached on (571)-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony Canning O

Xgrharay 7/21/06